

1 Daniel S. Mount, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 77517)  
2 Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 154512)  
3 Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 229683)  
4 Kevin M. Pasquinelli, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 246985)  
5 On Lu, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 242693)  
6 Jing H. Cherng, Esq. (Cal. Bar. No. 265017)  
7 Mount, Spelman & Fingerman, P.C.  
8 RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650  
9 333 West San Carlos Street  
10 San Jose CA 95110-2740  
11 Phone: (408) 279-7000  
12 Fax: (408) 998-1473  
13 Email: dmount@mount.com, kspelman@mount.com,  
14 dfingerman@mount.com, kpasquinelli@mount.com,  
15 olu@mount.com, gcherng@mount.com

16 Counsel for Defendants Antec Inc., Enermax Technology Corp.,  
17 Enermax USA Corp., FSP Group USA Corp., Mushkin Inc., Sea Sonic  
18 Electronics Co. Ltd., Seasonic Electronics Inc., SPI Electronic Co. Ltd.,  
19 Tagan Technology Co., Topower Computer Industrial Co. Ltd.,  
20 Topower Computer (USA) Inc.

United States District Court  
Northern District of California, San Francisco Division

21 Streak Products Inc.,  
22 Plaintiff,  
23 vs.  
24 Antec Inc., Channel Well Technologies  
25 Co. Ltd., Enermax Technology Corp.,  
Enermax USA Corp., FSP Group USA  
Corp., Magnell Associate Inc. d/b/a ABS  
Computer Technologies Inc., Mushkin  
Inc., Newegg Inc., Sea Sonic Electronics  
Co. Ltd., Seasonic Electronics Inc., SPI  
Electronic Co. Ltd. d/b/a FSP Group Inc.,  
Tagan Technology Co., Thermaltake  
Technology Co. Ltd., Thermaltake Inc.,  
Topower Computer Industrial Co. Ltd.,  
Topower Computer (USA) Inc. d/b/a E-  
Power Technology/PCMCIS  
Defendants

Case No. 3:09-cv-04255-RS-HRL

**[Proposed] Order Concerning Physical Evidence  
Submitted in Support of Motions for Summary  
Judgment**

MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, P.C.  
RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650  
333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET  
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740  
TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000

1 Defendants brought several computers as exhibits to the Motion for Summary Judgment  
2 hearing, held on August 11, 2011. During the hearing, counsel for Defendants submitted the  
3 following exhibits in support of their arguments and requested that counsel retain custody of those  
4 exhibits. For good cause, the request is GRANTED. The following exhibits, labeled by exhibit  
5 number, are deemed submitted and counsel for Defendants is ordered to retain custody of the  
6 exhibits, identified below as labeled in the hearing, in the instant case until further notice:

7 Exhibit 1. HP i2000 computer (S/N: SG21920584), depicted in Appendix A to Motion  
8 for Summary Judgment against Claim 1, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

9 Exhibit 2. Intel BS 460 computer, depicted in Appendix B to Motion for Summary  
10 Judgment against Claim 1, attached hereto as Exhibit B.

11 Exhibit 3. Intel BS 460 computer, depicted in Appendix C to Motion for Summary  
12 Judgment against Claim 1, attached hereto as Exhibit C.

13 Exhibit 4. IBM Personal System/2 Model 60 computer (S/N: 72-8184178), depicted in  
14 Appendix A to Motion for Summary Judgment against Claim 4, attached hereto as  
15 Exhibit D.

16 Exhibit 5. Apple Macintosh Quadra 950 computer (S/N: XB51909H677), depicted in  
17 Appendix B to Motion for Summary Judgment against Claim 4, attached hereto as  
18 Exhibit E.

19 Exhibit 8. Ultra Power Bar product and Ultra m998 Computer Case depicted in the  
20 Declaration of Daniel H. Fingerman in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment for  
21 False Marking, attached hereto as Exhibit F.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Date: 5/26/11

24   
Hon. Richard Seeborg  
United States District Judge